

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/847,244		05/01/2001	Melissa D. Beebe	M-9898 US	9206	
33438	7590	03/31/2004		EXAMINER		
HAMILTO	ON & TE	RRILE, LLP	GORT, ELAINE L			
P.O. BOX 2 AUSTIN, 7)		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
11001111,				3627		
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/847,244	BEEBE ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Elaine Gort	3627	Mul					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Ÿ							
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-18.								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
	•							



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments and added claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. For example the added limitations defining "periodically" would require further search and consideration.

3/29/04

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600